317X ::

MEMORANDUM

## NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL

# TOP SECRET/SENSITIVE/CODE WORD

INFORMATION January 21, 1975

ON-FILE NSC RELEASE INSTRUCTIONS APPLY

MEMORANDUM FOR:

SECRETARY KISSINGER

MORI/CDF C03207224

JAN M. LODAL jul

	SUBJECT:	Telemetry		] in	25X1
25X1	(2) 10 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)				200
	As Colby indicated memo (Tab A) on t	at the Monday SALT	VP meeting,	he has sent yo	ua /
		•			
25X1					
					7.
					, i

NGA Review Completed

No Objection to Declassification in Part 2010/05/27: LOC-HAK-538-6-2-6

25X1

25X1

25X1

### CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

Copyl of 10 15 January 1975

The Honorable Henry A. Kissinger Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs The White House Washington, D.C.

Dear Henry: 25X1

> In response to your request at the Verification Panel Meeting of 20 December, a talking paper is enclosed for your use in raising with the Soviets. It may be the subject of telemetry given to them if you feel that it would further our case to do so.

25X1

No Objection to Declassification in Part 2010/05/27: LOC-HAK-538-6-2-6





### TALKING PAPER

	I	would	like	to o	dis	cuss	with yo	iu t	oday	y a der	relo	ppmer	it which	1
the	US	Govern	ument	vie	ws	with	concern	ı wi	th :	regard	to	the	future	of
stra	ite	gic am	ns lin	nita	tio	n.								50.

25X1

strategic arms runitation.	20
·	

Long ago, both sides agreed to the principle that arms limitation agreements are based upon the ability of each side to verify the compliance of the other. In the case of aggregate limits, it is necessary to ensure that neither party is exceeding the agreed aggregate limit or developing the capability to rapidly exceed it. For example, if one side were to develop a new intermediate range ballistic missile and deploy it widely, the other side would have

SECRET

to assure itself that this system was not an ICBM and did not, in fact, have the capability to reach its territory.

Our two countries are also preparing to negotiate a limitation on the number of deployed MIRVed missiles, which presents special verification problems. In this case, each side must be able to assure itself that the flight test programs of the other side do not involve the development of new MIRV systems indistinguishable from those which do not carry MIRVs, and hence give rise to uncertainties in the number of MIRVed missiles which are deployed.

These considerations are of the greatest importance in fostering the kind of mutual trust required for any arms limitation agreement, especially one extending as far into the future as 1985. In this connection, you should be aware that several years ago the United States had done much of the research and development work aimed at changing the data transmission technique used during the flight tests of our strategic missiles. The United States viewed such a program as contrary to the spirit of mutual trust embodied in the SAL agreements, and abandoned further development work on it soon after the ABM Treaty and the Interim Agreement were signed.

I believe that our two countries have an obligation to forego any activities which could foster an atmosphere of mistrust and thereby endanger enduring arms limitation. It is clear to me that it is in the best interest of the USSR, as well as of the US, that each side retain the capability to unambiguously identify the missile

flight test programs of the other. For this reason, I propose that our two countries agree to refrain henceforth from instrumentation practices of the type initiated by the Soviet Union in mid 1974.